Template for comments

Public consultation on the draft recast ECB Regulation on investment fund statistics

Institution / Company
State Street (Ireland)
Contact person
Mr / Ms
Mr
First name
Guido
Surname
Faltoni
Email address
gfaltoni@statestreet.com
Telephone number
+ 44 203395 4331
Please tick here if you do not wish your personal data to be published.
General comments

Template for comments

Public consultation on the draft recast ECB Regulation on investment fund statistics

Please enter all your feedback in this list.

When entering feedback, please make sure that:

- each comment deals with a single issue only;
- you indicate the relevant chapter/article/paragraph/page, where appropriate;
- you indicate whether your comment is a proposed amendment, clarification or deletion.

Deadline: 12-Feb-24

ID	Chapter	Article	Paragraph	Page	Type of comment	Detailed comment	Concise statement as to why your comment should be taken on board	Name of commenter	Personal data
	1 Annex I	Table 5	item 11	19	Amendment	Geographical focus: We don't have this data in our systems, we will have to collect this data. It will be more simple for ECB to collect directly this data directly from the NCA of the fund. We would question how this would be deemed a static requirement and suggest that such information would be obtained from the data provided in relation to investments in the fund. Many AIF's and UCITS could have very dynamic portfolios where geographic region would change regularly - resulting in repeated updates to Static information.	time to put in place collection and storage of	Faltoni, Guido	Publish
:	2 Annex I	Table 5	item 13	20	Amendment	Focus of bond investments: We don't have this data in our systems, we will have to collect this data. It will be more simple for ECB to collect directly this data directly from the NCA of the fund. It is not clear as to how this would be determined i.e. based on supplement disclosures of the portfolio at any given date. As this is to be considered static data we would propose that this is based on the overall objective of the fund and would therefore be static regardless of the exact make-up of the portfolio. In Luxembourg, the prospectus does not always detail the type of bonds.	If this requested item remains we will need time to put in place collection and storage of this data in our systems	Faltoni, Guido	Publish
;	3 Annex I	Table 5	item 14	20	Amendment	Listing status: We don't have this data in our systems, we will have to collect this data. It will be more simple for ECB to collect directly this data directly from the NCA of the fund	If this requested item remains we will need time to put in place collection and storage of this data in our systems	Faltoni, Guido	Publish

4	Annex I	Table 5	item 16	20	Amendment	Investor base: We don't have this data in our systems, we will have to collect this data. It will be more simple for ECB to collect directly this data directly from the NCA of the fund. In Ireland, we already provide sectoral breakdown of investors and would suggest that such information be obtained from data already provided.	If this requested item remains we will need time to put in place collection and storage of this data in our systems	Faltoni, Guido	Publish
5	Annex I	Table 5	item 17	20	Amendment	Focus of real estate holdings: We don't have this data in our systems, we will have to collect this data. It will be more simple for ECB to collect directly this data directly from the NCA of the fund We don't have this data in our systems. We would question how this would be deemed a static requirement and suggest that such information would be obtained from the data provided in relation to real estate investments as required within "non-financial assets". In Luxembourg, the prospectus does not always detail the type of real estate holdings		Faltoni, Guido	Publish
6	Regulation	8	1,2,3		Deletion	Costs: As a fund administrator supporting a very large volume of subfunds. the costs associated with a move to provide all returns on a monthly basis would be significant in terms of the resources required to implement, particularly with regard to IT development and an increased ongoing personnel demand. The continuation of quarterly returns would be the favoured approach.	Costs associated with move to Monthly reporting would be extremely high	Faltoni, Guido	Publish
7	Annex I	Table 1	Category "(2+3+4) of which securities lent out or sold under repurchase agreements"	15	Deletion	Security lending and repurchase agreement details are managed by Security Lending agent. Therefore, it will be very complex to source this data on the increased frequency of monthly basis	If this article remains we will not able to report required data	Faltoni, Guido	Publish

8	Regulation	Article 14, 16, 17	All	13	Amendment	We consider that the proposal date for implementation of this new regulation is too optimistic. After the finalisation of this regulation by ECB, each NCB will have to publish their own local regulation (taking account of local situation), then reporting agents will have to develop and test new IT reporting tools, put in place new databases to collect data to be reported which is not yet available, and increase staffing resources. A minimum of 18 months lead time should be allowed from the finalisation of NCB's requirements for the application of the new requirements.	A too aggressive deadline will result in inaccurate and incomplete reporting	Faltoni, Guido	Publish
9	Annex I	Table 5	All	19-20	Amendment			Faltoni, Guido	Publish
10	Regulation	9	2	10	Amendment	Lag reporting: For funds that do not produce valuations on a daily basis, particularly quarterly, semi-annual or annual funds, there will be a significant increase in the amount of lagged reporting that is submitted if monthly returns are required, most of which will be either stale or incomplete/estimated. We would question the relevance of such data and propose such funds are exempt from the monthly cycle.	Redundancy of providing stale data	Faltoni, Guido	Publish