

# Monopsony, Income Risk and R\* Multiplicity

Federica Romei - Department of Economics, University of Oxford

WEDNESDAY 3<sup>RD</sup> JULY 2024, ECB FORUM ON CENTRAL BANKING 2024

Any views expressed here are solely mine and so cannot be taken to represent those of the Bank of England or members of the Monetary Policy Committee, Financial Policy Committee or Prudential Regulation Committee.



- Provide a framework to understand the behaviour of the neutral real interest rate (**R**\*) that equilibrates asset markets in the long run
  - In order to inform policy makers



- Provide a framework to understand the behaviour of the neutral real interest rate (**R**\*) that equilibrates asset markets in the long run
  - In order to inform policy makers
- Standard view of R\*: classical dichotomy (monetary policy cannot affect long-run variables)
  - Is R\* truly exogenous to monetary policy?

The Baseline | Demand and Supply of Assets in a Standard Model





# The Baseline | Demand and Supply of Assets in a Standard Model





# In the Data | This Effect holds pre-2007





**Estimation sample 1997-2007:** a positive shock to corporate debt supply causes a **positive** and persistent **response of R\*** 

#### In the Data | This Effect holds pre-2007



**Estimation sample 1997-2007:** a positive shock to corporate debt supply causes a **positive** and persistent **response of R\*** 

... but Switches Sign after 2008





**Estimation sample 2007-2019:** a positive shock to corporate debt supply causes a **negative** and persistent **response of R\*** 

To robustness checks



# How Can We Rationalise This Puzzle?



# How Can We Rationalise This Puzzle?

Forthcoming paper:

# "Monopsony, Income Risk and R\* Multiplicity"

By Federica Romei, Ambrogio Cesa-Bianchi, Sergio de Ferra, Andrea Ferrero, Alex Kohlhas, Michael McMahon and Giovanni Rosso The Mechanism | Issuance, Monopsony Power and Income Risk





The Mechanism | Issuance, Monopsony Power and Income Risk





The Mechanism | Issuance, Monopsony Power and Income Risk





# The Mechanism | The Initial Equilibrium





# The Mechanism | Firms Issue More Debt





# The Mechanism | Income Risk Increases - Demand shifts





## The Mechanism | Repeat the Same Experiment





### The Mechanism | Repeat the Same Experiment





#### The Mechanism | A New Demand Curve





#### The Mechanism | A New Demand Curve





# The Model | New Demand Curve





#### The Model | New Demand Curve





# The Model | Demand and Supply - Multiple (Stable) Equilibria

















## Policy | Asset Purchase Programmes









"Even if asset purchases have clearly **quantifiable benefits**, they also come with **side effects**.

These may be difficult to assess, as they can **materialise with considerable delay**."

(Schnabel, 2024)



- It may be **difficult** to predict future R\* **independently** of the path **of monetary policy**
- Our framework features multiple equilibria (Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe, 2001) and breaks the classical

dichotomy (Benigno and Fornaro, 2018, Jordà, Singh and Taylor, 2024, Ferrari and Queirós, 2024, ....)



- It may be **difficult** to predict future R\* **independently** of the path **of monetary policy**
- Our framework features multiple equilibria (Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe, 2001) and breaks the classical

dichotomy (Benigno and Fornaro, 2018, Jordà, Singh and Taylor, 2024, Ferrari and Queirós, 2024, ....)

- Cyclical shocks and policies can select the long-run equilibrium
  - Policymakers have extra power: they can affect long-run equilibria



- It may be **difficult** to predict future R\* **independently** of the path **of monetary policy**
- Our framework features multiple equilibria (Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe, 2001) and breaks the classical

dichotomy (Benigno and Fornaro, 2018, Jordà, Singh and Taylor, 2024, Ferrari and Queirós, 2024, ....)

- Cyclical shocks and policies can select the long-run equilibrium
  - Policymakers have extra power: they can affect long-run equilibria
- This means that we need more research on this because...



- It may be **difficult** to predict future R\* **independently** of the path **of monetary policy**
- Our framework features multiple equilibria (Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe, 2001) and breaks the classical

dichotomy (Benigno and Fornaro, 2018, Borio, 2021, Jordà, Singh and Taylor, 2024, Ferrari and Queirós, 2024, ....)

- Cyclical shocks and policies can select the long-run equilibrium
  - Policymakers have extra power: they can affect long-run equilibria
- This means that we need more research on this because...

# "With great poweR\*, comes great R\*esponsibility" (Uncle Ben,Stan Lee, 1962)

#### Appendix | robustness of IRFs of R\* to GIV





#### Appendix | robustness of IRFs of R\* to GIV





# Appendix | Monopsony





#### Appendix | Salaries and Leverage



• For given size, salary costs are negatively associated with leverage

 $Salary_{it} = \alpha_i + \alpha_{sct} + \beta Assets_{it} + \gamma \left( Assets_{it} \times Leverage_{it} \right) + \Gamma Z_{it} + u_{it}$ 

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Assets	0.47***	0.49***	0.58***	0.56***
Assets $\times$ Leverage	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02) - $0.03^{***}$ (0.00)	(0.02) - $0.02^{***}$ (0.00)
Observations	263125	262867	263125	262867
$R^2$	0.534	0.894	0.544	0.896
Firm FE	no	yes	no	yes
Sector FE	yes	no	yes	no

Table I SALARY COSTS, SIZE, AND LEVERAGE

NOTE. Robust standard errors (clustered two-way, at the year and firm level) are reported in parentheses, with (0.00) indicating a value lower than 0.005. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1. Coefficients corresponding to the constant, fixed effects, and controls (log number of employees and log leverage) are not reported.

## Appendix Leverage



