

Harmonisation of IPs
Acceptance Datetime

Timestamp - Update

TIPS-CG Meeting



- 1 Background
- 2 Main results from EPC discussion
- 3 Next steps

- 1 Background
- 2 Main results from EPC discussion
- 3 Next Steps

Issue on Acceptance Datetime Timestamp

- Acceptance Datetime Timestamp data received by PSPs from TIPS can have an heterogeneous format depending on the step of the TIPS workflow:
 - Message forwarded by TIPS, the format comes from the original sender
 - Message generated by TIPS (e.g. pacs.002 with direct reply), the internal rule/format apply
- Acceptance Datetime Timestamp format can be different for the same payment:
 - <AccptncDtTm>2022-02-14T15:29:17.615+01:00</AccptncDtTm>
 - <AccptncDtTm>2022-02-14T14:29:17.615Z</AccptncDtTm>
- This harmonization issue on Acceptance Datetime Timestamp was presented by BdF in the TIPS Consultative Group of 17 February 2022 and other TIPS participants confirmed this being a general harmonization issue involving all CSMs and actors among the workflow so it was agreed to bring it at EPC attention for resolution.

Consequences

- PSPs and/or their instructing party have to implement workarounds
- PSPs cannot rely on a unified rule for tracking their Instant Payments



Need to harmonize the format of Acceptance Datetime Timestamp having a more precise specification at EPC level

- 1 Background
- 2 Main results from EPC discussion
- 3 Next Steps

Main results of EPC discussion

 ECB Presentation held during STP MSG (Scheme Technical Player Multi-Stakeholder Group) meeting on 7 September 2022



EPC agreed to further investigate on raised issue



Feedback we received from the EPC-SEMSTF (EPC Scheme Evolution and Maintenance Standards Task Force), the EPC body that formally maintains the implementation guidelines (IGs) of the various EPC schemes. The <u>SEMSTF position is to keep the existing usage rules in the IGs</u>

Reasoning behind EPC decision

- The SEMSTF position is to keep the existing usage rules in the IGs for the following reasons:
 - ➤ EPC follows ISO 20022 three formats are allowed In ISO: "A particular point in the progression of time defined by a mandatory date and a mandatory time component, expressed in either UTC time format (YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ss.sssZ), local time with UTC offset format (YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ss.sss+/-hh:mm), or local time format (YYYY-MMDDThh:mm:ss.sss)." EPC allows the first two formats but not the third one given that local time would create too many uncertainties.
 - ➤ In line with CBPR+ rules (i.e. the SWIFTs program for adopting ISO20022 for cross border payment and reporting messages in the correspondent banking space).
 - Changing the usage rules would impact the whole chain (end-to-end).
 - PSPs should be given flexibility.
 - Dates might be created by legacy applications.

- 1 Background
- 2 Main results from EPC discussion
- 3 Next Steps

Next Steps

The TIPS-CG group is expected to provide comments on EPC reply and discuss the possible way forward.

Thank you for your attention!



www.ecb.europa.eu/paym

in ECB: market infrastructure and payments

