

Potential enhancements to the compliance framework

HSG update



AMI-SeCo Meeting 2 December agenda item 2.4

Background

- Mandate by AMI-SeCo to HSG
 - finding ways to enforce achieving full compliance with AMI-SeCo standards (following discussion on non-compliance with T2S Harmonisation standards)
- Current T2S Harmonisation Framework
 - Relies on voluntary commitment to comply with harmonisation standards
 - MIB (T2S Board view 2013):
 - Lack of benefit from efficient cross-CSD processes in T2S if not all markets adhere to harmonization standards
 - Standards considered as mandatory
 - Non-compliance only in exceptional cases
- Future incentives/enforcement measures should be applicable for all AMI-SeCo harmonisation standards (not only T2S standards).

Summary of discussion in the HSG

- The HSG recognises the usefulness of the existing framework for monitoring and assessing compliance with T2S Standards
- Several cases of non-compliance with little apparent prospect of success
 - Closely associated with national legal and fiscal structures
 - Progress would require action by multiple stakeholders
 - → Change in approach needed
- Focus on positive incentives for future enforcement measures
- The recommendation from the HSG is that the existing compliance framework should be complemented by specific in-depth analysis of non-compliance cases, conducted by the HSG in systematic and sequential order.

Recommendations for future in-depth analysis

1. Spain – Matching fields

"Client of the CSD participant"-field is filled in with the end-investor information

2. Italy – Tax info Market practice to manage PortfolioTransfers through T2S

- 3. Germany and Austria Corporate Actions (Market Claims)
 Use of "Cum"-indicator in T2S messages and the generation of market claims only after the underlying transaction has settled.
- 4. France Restrictions on Omnibus Accounts
 Requirement to segregate holdings in dedicated accounts based on the legal form of the security (i.e. bearer or fully registered).