

Status update on ongoing work

Bilateral Collateral Management Expert Group (BCM EG)



CMH-TF, Frankfurt 12/03/2020

Teresa Castilla & Jan Grauls
Co-Chairs of the Bilateral Collateral Management EG

Overview

Bilateral Collateral Management Expert Group (BCM EG)

Background Transaction identifiers used in settlement messaging **Next steps**

Background

- Objective: To improve mobility of collateral across (I)CSDs in particular for SFTs and T2S.
 - Focus on mobility of collateral (across CSDs settlement involving T2S), with the aim to develop harmonised market Standards and promotion of best practices for SFTs (where needed).
- Several topics were identified:
 - 1. Promote identifiers (transaction codes for SFT, such as COLI/COLO etc)
 - 2. Efficient management of collateral involving T2S
 - 3. Support harmonised messaging (to reduce diverse email exchanges)
 - 4. Cut-Off Times
 - 5. Sourcing of Collateral
- Work has started on providing guidance on usage of identifiers (item 1 above)

Transaction identifiers in settlement messaging

1) What could be achieved/improved if accurate transaction codes become reality/the standard?

Improvements could be achieved in:

- Operational efficiency
- Reporting

Examples:

- Improved transparency of data from CSDs according to transaction types.
- Improved Corporate Action processing through identification of relevant parties.
- Transaction types are not relevant for penalties/buy-in procedure (CSDR settlement discipline- the underlying asset is the only relevant information). The trading parties are the ones impacted by the management of buy-in regime (and not custodians or CSDs).

Transaction identifiers in settlement messaging

2) Are firms already correctly using transaction type codes in the settlement messaging?

- It is not clear how wide the use is (and which codes are used) and original information is often lost in the settlement chain.
- CSDs could be asked to provide further information

Transaction identifiers in settlement messaging

3) What should be better explained?

- Not all market participants may be familiar with existing usage guidelines and use of codes (for SFT and bilateral collateral management), i.e. SMPG documents.
- Further clarification may however be needed on how to use transaction identifiers and when

Example:

- Existing usage guidelines have been updated and aligned (but should be verified if updates cover the migration to T2S etc).
- Regarding the format (e.g. of best practice for SFTs), examples in other areas could be taken into account.

Next steps

Promoting identifiers (i.e. correction usage of transaction codes)

 Action for EG: To define a market practice to use right code in both sides of the transaction.

Information on current usage to be collected from CSDs

Need to involve wide range of stakeholders.