

European Central Bank
DG-MIP
T2/T2S Consolidation Project Team

T2/T2S Consolidation

Debriefing on the 1st meeting of the ad-hoc Workshop on messages on 14 February 2017

Task Force on Future RTGS Services 3rd meeting on 22-23 February 2017

General Approach

- The main objective is the migration to ISO 20022 messages for the future consolidated services
 - Full migration of current TARGET2 MT message portfolio to ISO 20022/MX messages for the RTGS services
 - Harmonisation of current TARGET2 MX messages with ISO 20022 compliant T2S message portfolio as far as applicable
- Network-vendor agnostic
- Usage Guidelines of the HVPS+ Task Force (still under discussion) to be taken into account
- Minimize the communication costs by avoiding unnecessary messages

ISO20022/MX messages migration

- Today's MT messages will be completely migrated to ISO20022
- Message portfolio and message flows, e.g.
 - Payment business pacs.008, pacs.009, pacs.010
 - Status and return messages camt.054, pacs.002 and pacs.004
- Becoming network-agnostic means for ISO 20022 migration: change from Y copy to V shape

General guidance agreed

- Message flow for RTGS services
 - open point: investigation, claim charges and cancellation of payment:
 to be offered?
- Usage of the BAH for routing and special purposes
- TARGET2 directory is considered an essential tool
 - open point: market consultation whether National Sorting Codes are still needed
- The possibility to bundle single messages in files is welcome
- End-to-end signature not envisaged, i.e. messages for participants will be signed by the platform (end-to-end aspects to be covered by legal agreement)

Migration strategy

- Migration in a big-bang approach
- No co-existence; i.e.
 - no restriction in the usage of the ISO20022 fields
 - to simplify the switch over, the community might agree to reduce the field length or character set for some fields to allow easier conversion
- No step-by-step approach

Topics for the next meeting

- Flows for amendments and liquidity transfers
- Flows for direct debits rejected by the debited party
- Flows for Ancillary Systems
- Flows for credit line management and other central bank operations
- Handling of oversized messages, timeouts, etc.
- Addressing of messages
- Time stamp in positive confirmation messages required? (would require ISO CR)

Meeting Schedule of the Task Force and of the ad-hoc Workshops on messages

